



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

142

CR-2785-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.03.2026

Devender Kumar

...Petitioner

V/s

Sant Garib Das Trust

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The instant revision petition, preferred under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, prays for the issuance of a direction to the Court of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Bahadurgarh to decide Civil Suit No.289 of 2023 titled as ***Devender Kumar vs. Sant Garib Das Trust***, in a time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, while referring to the interlocutory orders, which have been placed on record as Annexure P-4 (colly), submits that a bare perusal would show that the effort of the respondent-defendant is to delay the matter by filing one application or the other. He submits that despite three years having passed after the institution of the suit, even issues have not been framed till today.

3. Learned counsel further submits that an application under Order 7 Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (for short "the CPC") was filed on 22.11.2024 which is still pending. He, therefore, prays that the Court concerned be directed to decide the suit in a time bound manner.

4. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. There would be no necessity of issuing notice to the respondent, for, in view of the nature of the order that is proposed to be passed, no prejudice would be caused to it.

6. It has to be borne in mind that all Courts are having huge pendency of cases and, therefore, it is neither practical nor feasible to issue directions for time bound disposal in all cases. However, at the same time, it is the bounden duty of each Court to decide cases diligently and expeditiously and to thwart attempts by any side to delay and derail the proceedings.

7. A perusal of the interlocutory orders shows that one application after the other is being filed by the defendants and the same remained pending for months together. Even the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC is pending for almost two years.

8. After considering the matter from all angles, the instant revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the Court concerned where Civil Suit Civil Suit No.289 of 2023 titled as ***Devender Kumar vs. Sant Garib Das Trust*** is pending, to deal with the matter expeditiously and diligently and make all possible efforts to first decide the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC and then proceed with the trial so that rights of the parties are not prejudiced.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 30, 2026

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No