



**108+221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16277-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.05.2026

Aman Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Akshit Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Rizwan, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-Aman has filed the instant bail petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for seeking regular bail in FIR No. 587 dated 12.10.2025 registered under Sections 316(2), 318(4) and 351(2) of the BNS, 2023, at Police Station Indri, District Karnal.

2. After hearing counsel on 01.04.2026, the following order was passed:-

“Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.) seeking regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.587 dated 12.10.2025 under Section 316(2), 318(4) and 351(2) of BNS (earlier Sections 406, 420 and 506 IPC), registered at Police Station Indri, District Karnal.

As per allegations, petitioner-Aman Kumar along with his wife-Neha cheated complainant Sukhdev Singh on the pretext of



sending complainant's son Kush @ Ankit Kumar to Singapore and thereby defrauded for an amount of Rs.7 lacs, out of which Rs.4 lacs were arranged by complainant by mortgaging his land to a person of village Bhogpur, Yamunanagar.

2. Counsel for the petitioner argues that, allegations are false and baseless. No such deal more so in writing took place between the complainant and the petitioner. Moreover, petitioner being inside jail since 20.02.2026, prays for grant of bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that such instances are at increase in this part of the area and petitioner does not deserve any leniency to grant him concession of bail.

4. Learned State counsel further submits that investigation is still in process and petitioner is inside jail, but he has not remained inside jail for a sufficient period, whereas he has played fraud with a poor man. It is also informed that petitioner is also involved in three other cases of similar nature.

5. Amount involved is Rs.7 lacs and offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate and petitioner is inside jail since 20.02.2026 thereby to prove charges beyond doubt, trial would definitely take considerable time.

6. Complainant-Sukhdev son of Shri Bicha Ram, resident of Indri, District Karnal, is ordered to be impleaded as party respondent No.2 in the instant petition. Amended memo of parties be filed in the Registry without moving any miscellaneous application. The petitioner would pay an amount of Rs.15,000/- to the complainant/newly impleaded respondent No.2 on the next date of hearing, on his appearance.

7. Considering the circumstances in totality, the petitioner is given a chance to resolve the dispute and for the said purpose, he is ordered to be released on interim bail till the next date of hearing, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

8. List on 30.04.2026. ”



3. On 30.04.2026, counsel stated that the petitioner is ready to hand over demand draft of Rs.4 lacs and therefore, on that count, the petition is listed today for its hearing.

4. Mr. Akshit Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner has handed over a Demand Draft No.955177 dated 04.05.2026 to the tune of Rs.4 lacs to the complainant-Sukhdev Singh, who is also present in the Court along with his counsel. A copy of the same is taken on record.

5. In view of the factual position that the complainant allegedly had paid Rs.7 lacs to the petitioner and out of the same, an amount of Rs.4 lacs has been returned by the petitioner to the complainant today in the Court, this Court deems it appropriate to make the interim order dated 01.04.2026 as absolute. Ordered accordingly.

6. Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner would be deemed to be on regular bail on the basis of bail-bonds already furnished by him.

7. Disposed of.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

05.05.2026
SN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No