



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 2748-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.05.2026**

M/s Guru Nanak Trading Co. Merchant and Commission Agents and anr.

....Petitioners

versus

Dharam Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma and Mr. Lakshya Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhjeet Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA , J. (Oral)

The present revision petition is filed for setting aside order dated 06.03.2026 passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Khanna, whereby objection petition filed by the petitioners/judgment debtors has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Khanna has wrongly dismissed objection petition filed by the petitioners/judgment debtors and issued warrants for sale of property of the petitioners/judgment debtors, vide impugned order dated 06.03.2026. He further contends that it is the petitioners/judgment debtors who can decide which of his property is sufficient to satisfy the decree. And this fact is not appreciated by



learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Khanna while dismissing the objection petition filed by the petitioners/judgment debtors. Hence, he prays that the present revision petition be allowed.

3. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent contends that the petitioners are delaying the execution by filing applications. He further contends that the petitioners/judgment debtors have availed the remedy of appeal, whereby learned Appellate Court vide order dated 11.05.2023 disposed of the application under Order 41 Rule 5 read with Section 151 CPC and ordered that 50% of the due amount shall be deposited within one month before the Executing Court which can be withdrawn by the decree holder/respondent on furnishing of appropriate indemnity bonds and security to the satisfaction of the Executing Court and only upon fulfillment of these conditions, decree under challenge shall remain stayed. One month time was granted to comply with the directions failing which decree holder/respondent was held entitled to get the decree executed without any stay. It was further ordered that in case the compliance is not made within time, the decree shall become executable and no order would be necessary.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent contends that the above order dated 11.05.2023 has not been complied with till date. Hence, he prays that the present revision petition be dismissed.

5. The contention of learned counsel for the respondents as well as non-compliance of order dated 11.05.2023 passed by learned Appellate Court shows the conduct of the petitioners. Otherwise also, a perusal of the impugned order dated 06.03.2026 shows that there is no infirmity in the same.

6. Accordingly, the present revision petition being devoid of any merit



is dismissed.

7. Pending application (s) if any also stands disposed of.

May 12, 2026
Gaurav Arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>