

2026:PHHC:066440



205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16284-2026

Paramjit Kaur

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

Date of Decision: April 30, 2026

Date of Uploading: April 30, 2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Ms. Mehak Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Rajan Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of
concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.87 dated
27.09.2023, under Sections 323, 295, 34, 201 of IPC, 1860, registered at
Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana (Rural).

2. On 25.03.2026, the following order was passed:

*“Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.87 dated 27.09.2023 under
Sections 323, 295, 34, 201 IPC (corresponding Sections 115(2), 298, 3(5),
238 of BNS), registered at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana
(Rural); the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.*

*Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is
a lady aged 60 years with clean antecedents, the genesis of the FIR in
question is a dispute amongst the relatives, no effective recovery is to be
made from the petitioner & the petitioner is willing to join investigation
and cooperate therein as per law.*

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab (Through V.C.) has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

Adjourned to 30.04.2026

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 01.04.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. She shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State Counsel (on instructions) has stated that pursuant to the order dated 25.03.2026, the petitioner has joined the investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record; especially in view of the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is not being sought for by the State; the interim order dated 25.03.2026 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. Petition stands **allowed**, accordingly.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

April 30, 2026

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No