



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.114

CWP-9210-2026

Date of decision: 27.03.2026

Harshit Construction through its Proprietor Harshit Gupta
....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI**

Present: Mr. Naveen Sheokan, Advocate (through VC)
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. In the light of the complex dispute on facts, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw this petition to enable the petitioner to avail of his appropriate remedy which may be available to it, in accordance with law.
2. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.
3. It is clarified that while granting the afore liberty, we have neither commented on the merits nor the maintainability/entertainability of the remedy that the petitioner may invoke in terms of the liberty granted through the instant order.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

**(RAMESH KUMARI)
JUDGE**

March 27, 2026

Jyoti I

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*