



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

332

**CRM-M-17060-2026 (O&M)
Decided on: 25.05.2026**

LAKSHAY GUPTA AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate with
Mr. Deepinder Singh Virk, Advocate and
Mr. Kadambari Bhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Alankrit Bhardwaj, Addl. P.P. U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Arun Kumar Goyat, Advocate for
Mr. Himmat Singh Deol, Advocate
for the complainant.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.114 dated 26.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 408, 420, 120B the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short – ‘the IPC’) registered at Police Station Sector 36, Chandigarh along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 27.01.2026 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.
2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Mohinder Singh.
3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court



through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

7. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

8. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this



Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, this petition is allowed FIR No.114 dated 26.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 408, 420, 120B of the IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 36, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioners subject to payment of Rs.30,000/- (in equal share), as cost, to be deposited with Indian Red Cross Society, Account No.3578152035, IFSC Code- CBIN0280412, Central Bank of India, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh.

10. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 25.06.2026, the present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

25.05.2026

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO