

2026.PHHC:047927



142.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9155-2026

Date of decision: 25.03.2026

Sarwan Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate, and
Mr. Mridul Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant and release minimum of pay in the pay scale to the petitioners, who are working as SDPS (Senior DOTS-Plus and TB/HIV Supervisors (Contractual basis) under NMH), as is being given to the employees working on regular basis by equating their post with the regular post of Assistant Malaria Officer (Pay Scale of Rs.10300-34800+4400 GP) as has been done in case of Senior Treatment Supervisors (Contractual) working under NHM by equating them with the regular post of Multiple Purpose Health Supervisor, vide order dated 31.07.2019/02.08.2019 (Annexure P-3), passed by respondent No.1, in compliance of

the law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *State of Punjab Versus Jagjit Singh (2016-4 SCT 641)*.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for redressal of their grievance, the petitioners have also submitted representation dated 07.07.2025 (Annexure P-9) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.3 to consider and decide said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Kanav Singla, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1, 2 and 4, and Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate, for Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3. They have no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide representation dated 07.07.2025 (Annexure P-9) submitted by the petitioners expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the

decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioners. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to supplement the said representation within a period of 02 weeks.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

25.03.2026
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No