



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(205)

RSA-719-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-19.03.2026

KULWINDER SINGH ... Appellant
Versus
JIT KAUR ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate
for Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel appearing for the appellant pleaded no instructions as after the death of the appellant, none has approached him for getting himself or herself impleaded as LR of the appellant. So, in such circumstances, he is unable to proceed with the appeal.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

19th March, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No