



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(206)

RSA-682-1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-01.05.2026

JAGROOP SINGH

... Appellant

Versus

DALIP SINGH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LRS AND
OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Arjun Dhaliwal, Advocate
for Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate
for respondents No.11 to 16.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Previous order has not been complied with. Cost imposed vide order dated 17.03.2026 has also not been deposited. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that in spite of best efforts, he is unable to establish contact with the appellant. It seems that appellant has lost interest in pursuing the appeal.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the case on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stand dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

01st May, 2026
Poonam

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No