



RSA-661-1999 (O&M)

-1-

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-661-1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 12.05.2026

The Haryana State Electricity Board and others

.....Appellants

Vs.

Rajinder Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present : Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate, and
Mr. Daanish Mahajan, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Pranjal P Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the respondent.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

1. The present appeal is preferred against the judgement and decree dated 15.09.1992 passed by learned Sub-Judge 1st Class, Narnaul, as well as judgment and decree dated 31.10.1998 passed by learned Additional District Judge-I, Narnaul, whereby the civil suit filed by the respondent was decreed in his favour and the appeal preferred by the appellants was dismissed, respectively.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that since there was no stay of impugned judgments and decrees in the present appeal and there were concurrent findings against the appellants, during the pendency of the present appeal, the respondent was regularized at T-Mate on 18.07.2000,

**RSA-661-1999 (O&M)**

-2-

thereafter promoted as Assistant Lineman on 28.04.2005 and as Lineman on 09.08.2012 and ultimately retired from service on 31.03.2021. He further submits that all retiral benefits have also been released to the respondent.

3. Mr. Pranjal P. Chaudhary, Advocate, has put in appearance and filed his Power of Attorney on behalf of the respondent. He does not dispute the same.

4 In view of the above, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous.

5. Accordingly, the present Regular Second Appeal is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

12.05.2026

Virender

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No