



CRM-M-16443-2026

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CRM-M-16443-2026
Decided on:27.03.2026

SurajPetitioner
Versus
State of HaryanaRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH
Present: Mr. Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
Suraj	209	06.07.2025	21(b) of NDPS (Section 29 of NDPS Act was added later on)	Narwana Sadar, District Jind Haryana

2. As per the case of the prosecution, on 06.07.2025, co-accused Sunny was apprehended by the police officials on the Narwana–Hisar Highway near Naveen Hotel, on the basis of secret information. Upon search, 515 grams of charas was recovered from his possession without any permit or licence. During investigation, he made a disclosure statement stating that he had purchased the recovered contraband from the present petitioner.

**CRM-M-16443-2026****2**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and his name has surfaced only on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused. Learned counsel further submits that disclosure statement is not admissible in evidence and cannot be made the sole basis for implicating the petitioner in the present case. Moreover, petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation if he is protected from arrest. Thus, learned counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner

4. Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Parveen Kumar, Addl. A.G., Haryana puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State. He seeks some time to file reply.

5. Considering the fact that the involvement of the petitioner has surfaced only on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused, which by itself is not substantive evidence, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the petitioner has made out a case for grant of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, present petition is allowed, and petitioner is directed to join present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to join the investigation within two weeks from today or as and when called by the concerned Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, petitioner shall be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. Petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.



CRM-M-16443-2026

3

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

6. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 27, 2026
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO