



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 175)

**CWP No. 8988 of 2026
Date of decision: 16.04.2026**

M/s SPD Industries

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI**

Present : Mr. Shubham Kaushik, Advocate,
Mr. Binay Pal Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Mohit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pranav Chadha, Advocate for respondent No.2-FCI.
Mr. Anmol Puri, Advocate for respondent No.3.


* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) The site inspection report dated 28.10.2025 pressed by learned counsel for respondent No.3 is ordered to be taken on record as Annexure-X.

(2) Learned counsel for the contesting respondent No.3 further submits that the petitioner's technical bid has rightly been rejected because the approach road to the land for setting up a godown being offered by the petitioner is brick-paved and only 18 feet in width which contravenes Clause 30 of the NIT.

(3) In the light of the above statement, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw this petition with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh one on the same cause of action as also to challenge therein the holding of private respondents' technical bids to be responsive.



(4) Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

16.04.2026
sunil yadav

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No