



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

250

CWP-8951-2026

DATE OF DECISION: 09.04.2026

NO 265406 EX CORPORAL GURCHARAN SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Panghal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Archana Vashisht, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Pathak, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4-UOI.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for the release of the pensionary benefits for which the petitioner is entitled for keeping in view the relief granted by respondent No.5-Armed Forces Tribunal, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') vide order dated 06.12.2024 (Annexure P-1), which is not made available to the petitioner despite expiry of more than one year. The following order was passed by this Court on 24.03.2026.

"1. By way of present petition, the petitioner prays to direct the respondents to grant pensionary benefits to the petitioner as per the order dated 06.12.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.5-Armed Forces Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') and implement the same without any further delay.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the relief of pensionary benefits granted by the Tribunal as far back as 06.12.2024 is yet to be released and the petitioner is being made to run from the pillar to post to seek the benefit already allowed by the Tribunal. Hence, it is prayed that a direction be



issued to the respondents to release the same expeditiously.

- 3. *Notice of motion.*
- 4. *Ms. Archana Vashisht, Advocate, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4-UOI and seeks 10 days' time to inform as to what is the hindrance in releasing the amount of pensionary benefits for which the petitioner has already been found entitled to by the Tribunal.*
- 5. *Adjourned to 09.04.2026.*
- 6. *Service upon respondent No.5 is dispensed with being Tribunal."*

2. Ms. Archana Vashisht, Advocate submits that she has instructions that the State has decided to have the remedy of an appeal but submits that in case no interim order is secured within next eight weeks, the order passed by the Tribunal will be implemented.

3. It may be noticed that the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement given by the learned counsel for the respondent-UOI, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further. It is noted that in case of the violation of the undertaking as recorded hereinbefore, a harsh view will be taken including initiation of the contempt proceedings under Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

09.04.2026
sapna adhikari

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No