



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

156

CRM-M No.16053 of 2026 (O&M)

Date of decision:25.03.2026

Romins @ Romis Kumar @ Romy Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present: Mr. Kartik Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral):

1. This petition, under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, hereinafter being referred to as 'BNSS', seeking for quashing of the order dated 06.02.2025, (Annexure P-3), passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, hereinafter being referred to as 'trial Court'. By virtue of abovementioned order, the bail of petitioner was cancelled. As a result thereof, the bail bonds of the petitioner have been forfeited and to procure his presence in the Court, his warrants of arrest were issued.

2. **Notice of motion.**

3. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab, has appeared on behalf of respondent-State.



Hence, service of notice upon the State is hereby dispensed with. However, no formal reply has been filed by the State and the learned State counsel has opted to orally oppose the present petition.

4. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the learned trial Court, but, on 06.02.2025 he could not appear before the learned trial Court, due to unavoidable circumstances. As per learned counsel for the petitioners, in the abovementioned circumstances, the impugned order was passed, which deserves to be quashed.

5. In view of above, once it is an admitted fact that the petitioner was not present before the learned trial Court, in compliance with the conditions imposed in the bail order, it is hereby held that the learned trial Court was left with no option but to cancel the bail order, forfeit the bail bonds and issue warrants of arrest against the petitioner. Since apparently, there is no illegality in the abovementioned order, there is no scope of interference in the impugned order.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has sought liberty for the petitioner to surrender before the learned trial Court and move an application for bail. The learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for a direction to the learned trial Court to dispose of the bail application, to be moved by the petitioner, in a time-bound manner.

7. In view of above, the present petition is hereby ***disposed of*** with a



direction to the petitioner to surrender before the learned trial Court. If he surrenders before the learned trial Court and moves an application for bail, the learned trial Court is directed to dispose of the bail application within three days. It is, however, clarified that the learned trial Court in the exercise of its discretion shall be at liberty to take the petitioner into custody and/or impose penalty under Section 491 of BNSS (erstwhile Section 446 of Cr.P.C).

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

25.03.2026
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No