



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Criminal Misc. No. M-16022 of 2026

Date of Decision: 24.03.2026

Sunny Chouhan

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surya Partap Singh.

Present: Mr. Anureet S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

Surya Partap Singh, J.

1. This petition seeking for quashing of order dated 10.03.2026 passed by the court of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jind, hereinafter being referred to as “learned trial Court”, has been filed on the ground that without looking into the genuine difficulty being faced by the petitioner, on account of illness, his bail has been cancelled and bonds have been forfeited to the State.

2. **Notice of motion.**

3. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Hence, service of notice upon the State is hereby dispensed with.

4. Heard.

5. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been regularly appearing before the learned trial Court, and that on 10.03.2026 due to illness he was indisposed and therefore, he could not appear before the learned trial Court. As per learned counsel for the petitioner the learned trial Court without looking into the above-mentioned fact-situation dismissed the application filed by the petitioner for exemption and cancelled his bail. According to learned counsel for the petitioner since it was the first default on the part of the petitioner, and the difficulty on the part of petitioner was genuine, the cancellation of bail order is harsh and deserves to be set aside.

6. The record has been perused carefully.

7. In view of above-mentioned fact-situation the petitioner is hereby directed to appear before the learned trial Court by next date fixed in the above-mentioned case, and in case he surrenders before the Court and moves an application for fresh bail the same would be decided by the learned trial Court on the same day. If the above-mentioned bail application does not find favour with the learned trial Court, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail for a period of two weeks, subject to the furnishing of bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

8. The present petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(Surya Partap Singh)
Judge

March 24, 2026
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No