



116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8880-2026

Date of decision: 30.03.2026

Rajvir Bains

...Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Cooperative Supply and Marketing
Federation Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Raman Kaplish, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing and setting aside the impugned order bearing Nos.250 dated 05.12.2025 (Annexure P-2), 256 dated 05.12.2025 (Annexure P-5), 257 dated 05.12.2025 (Annexure P-8), 259 dated 05.12.2025 (Annexure P-11) and 260 dated 05.12.2025 (Annexure P-14) passed by the Punishing Authority-respondent No.3. Further, for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondent No.2 to hear and decide the statutory departmental appeals dated 27.02.2026 (Annexures P-3, P-6, P-9, P-12 & P-15) along with the applications for stay dated 27.02.2026 (Annexures P-4, P-7, P-10, P-13 & P-16) filed by the petitioner and also to direct the respondents to stay the recovery

**CWP-8880-2026****-2-**

being effected from the salary of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage submits that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the statutory departmental appeals dated 27.02.2026 (Annexures P-3, P-6, P-9, P-12 & P-15) along with the applications for stay dated 27.02.2026 (Annexures P-4, P-7, P-10, P-13 & P-16) filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner. He further prays that till the disposal of the appeals filed by the petitioner, recovery from the salary of the petitioner shall remain stayed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Advocate puts in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and files his memorandum of appearance in the Court today which is taken on record. He further submits that appeals of the petitioner along with the applications for stay would be decided in a time bound manner expeditiously as every employee has the right to have his disciplinary proceedings concluded within a reasonable period.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting further on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 is directed to hear and decide the appeals filed by the petitioner along with applications for stay in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order after affording him an opportunity of being heard within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Till decision of the departmental statutory appeals filed by the petitioner, the impugned recovery order shall be kept in abeyance.
7. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same be granted to him forthwith by respondent No.2.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

30.03.2026

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No