



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

CR-2668-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.03.2026

Hakikat Singh

...Petitioner

V/s

Ramesh Chander and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Shivam Bansal, Advocate, for the respondents.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition as he intends to file an appeal against the impugned order.

2. The only apprehension expressed by learned counsel for the petitioner is as regards limitation.

3. Keeping in view the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is dismissed as having been withdrawn. However, it would be open for the petitioner to invoke Article 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963, in accordance with law.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

March 30, 2026

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No