



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8973-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.04.2026

Manjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. D.S. Bhinder, Advocate, and
Mr. Charanbir Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

CM-5508-CWP-2026

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

Accordingly, the inquiry report dated 13.05.2024, is taken
on record as Annexure P-6.

Main case

1. The petitioner, by filing instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, impugns the order dated 20.02.2026 (Annexure P-4). Additionally, a prayer is also made for issuance of a Mandamus upon the respondents to release his pensionary benefits, including commutation, monthly pension, leave encashment etc., along with interest @ 12% per annum.,

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, owing to pendency of the proceedings arising out of a charge-sheet served upon the petitioner, under Rules 8 and 10 of the Punjab Civil Services (Punishment and Appeal), Rules, 1970, his retiral dues have not been released. While referring to the inquiry report (Annexure P-6), he submits that the inquiry has already been concluded, and the charges



leveled against the petitioner were not found proved. However, a period of about one year has elapsed, but the authorities are still unnecessarily lingering the matter, thereby, depriving the petitioner of his legitimate dues.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and going through the record, this Court finds substance in the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, at this stage, with a Mandamus upon the competent authority, amongst the respondents, to take a final decision on the charge-sheet, as well as the claim of the petitioner regarding release of pensionary benefits, within two months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order, after extending due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Further, In case, the petitioner is found entitled for the benefits, as prayed for, the same shall be released without any further delay.

4. However, in the event, the petitioner still fetches any grievance against the order so passed, he shall be at liberty to re-access this Court.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

09.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No