



CWP-8906-2026 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

161

CWP-8906-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.03.2026

Mewa Singh and another

....Petitioner

Vs.

Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Jagraj Singh Khiva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARSH BUNGER J. (Oral)

1 Petition herein is, *inter alia*, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Certiorari, for quashing the order dated 12.12.2025 (Annexure P-25) passed by learned Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab; order dated 28.08.2025 (Annexure P-23) passed by learned Collector, Sub-Division Mansa; order dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-21) and order dated 15.06.2022 (Annexure P-9) passed by learned Assistant Collector 1st Grade, Mansa.

2. In the instant writ petition, challenge is made to the partition proceedings/*Naksha Bey* approved by the Revenue Authorities.

3. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner has raised only one objection that in the final partition, the Revenue Authorities have provided a *Pahi* (passage) with width of 3 *karams* and submits that the width of said *Pahi* (passage) should be 2 *karams*.



4. I have considered the aforesaid submission raised on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner; however I find no merit in the same.

5. Clause 4 of the mode of partition clearly provides that while carrying out the partition, the *Pahi* (passage) shall be provided as per the requirement. In case, the Revenue Officer while carrying out the partition proceedings thought it appropriate to provide the *Pahi* (passage) of 3 *karam* width, no fault can be found with the same. In fact, giving of 3 *karam* wide *Pahi* (passage) would facilitate the movement of *combine harvester* in the fields and by no stretch of imagination, it can be said that 3 *karam* wide *Pahi* (passage) has been arbitrarily provided.

6. That apart, the area to be utilized for providing the *Pahi* (passage) is to be contributed by all the co-sharers, in proportion to their share in the joint holding; and it is not the petitioner who has to contribute land alone.

7. No other argument has been raised.

8. Keeping in view the above, I find no merit in the present writ petition and same is accordingly dismissed.

9. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

24.03.2026

ankit

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No