



109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-1353-2026

Date of decision: 07.05.2026

Balwant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajeev Ranjan and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Harsh Vardhan Shehrawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Harmeet Singh Oberoi, Advocate for respondent No.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Contempt Petition filed under Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for their willful and intentional disobedience of the order dated 08.08.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents-State has submitted that the entire amount which is due to the petitioner as per the Rules and Regulations has been paid to him.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as per the petitioner, certain amount is still due. It is further submitted that the petitioner would give a representation to respondent No.2 giving the details of the amounts due with specific calculation and has prayed that respondent No.2 be directed to consider the said representation and the same be decided in a time bound manner.



4. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that the respondents have no objection to the said course of action.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present contempt petition is disposed of with the following observations/directions:-

- i) It would be open to the petitioner to file a representation to respondent No.2 giving the details of the amounts which as per him are still due after giving specific calculation and would also detail the basis for claiming the same.
- ii) In case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the same within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the said representation. In case respondent No.2 is of the opinion that the representation is meritorious and some amount is due to the petitioner, then, the same be released by the respondents in accordance with the procedure, as expeditiously as possible. In case respondent No..2 is of the opinion that the claims made by the petitioner are not meritorious, then, a speaking order to the said effect would be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.
- iii) In case the petitioner is dissatisfied with the speaking order, it would be open to the petitioner to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

07.05.2026

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No