

2026:PHHC:045935



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

137

CWP-8775-2026

Date of decision: March 23, 2026

VIJAY KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Saurabh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate with
Ms. Vanshika Daaria, Advocate
for respondent no.4/University.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to regularise the petitioner's services on the post of Lab Assistant from the initial date of his appointment in view of the judgment passed by the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.14831 of 2024 titled *Jaggo v. Union of India and others*, and grant him all consequential benefits, i.e., continuity of service, seniority, pay fixation and arrears of salary, etc.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case he is permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a

specified period. All relevant particulars of the petitioner's service which entitle him to the claimed benefits will be mentioned therein.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Learned counsel for the University accepts notice and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner within four weeks from today, the same will be decided by the respondent no.4/Registrar, Dada Lakhmi Chand State University of Performing and Visual Arts, Rohtak, by passing a speaking order thereupon in accordance with law by taking into consideration the judgment in *Jaggo case ibid.*, within six months of receiving the representation.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

March 23, 2026

Jaspreet Kaur

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)

JUDGE

✓

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*