

**CRM-M-15751-2026****1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****206****CRM-M-15751-2026****Decided on :27.03.2026**

Amjad Ali

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

-:-

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, seeking regular bail in case FIR No.34 dated 06.03.2025, under Section 20(b) (ii)(c) of NDPS Act (Sections 20(c) and 29 of NDPS Act were added later on), registered at Police Station Partap Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. As per the case of the prosecution, on the basis of secret information, the co-accused Israna alias Noona was apprehended by ASI Santosh on 06.03.2025 along with a narcotic substance, i.e., charas weighing 2.002 kgs. During interrogation, Israna made a disclosure statement naming the petitioner as being involved in the crime.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and is involved solely on the basis of the disclosure made by the co-accused, which lacks independent corroboration. There is no direct evidence connecting the petitioner to the alleged offence. Counsel further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 27.05.2025, and after the completion of the investigation, the final

**CRM-M-15751-2026****2**

report has been presented. Counsel further submits that trial is likely to take a considerable time, therefore, prays for grant of regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the recovered contraband, i.e., 2.002 kg of charas, is commercial in nature, and the disclosure made by the co-accused implicates petitioner as an active participant. Considering the seriousness of the offence and the quantity of narcotics involved, learned State counsel prays that the present petition may be dismissed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book along with the documents appended thereto.

6. Undoubtedly, the quantity recovered from co-accused, Israna @ Noona, is commercial in nature, i.e. 2.002 kgs, and petitioner is implicated merely on the basis of the disclosure statement.

On being asked by the Court, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ramesh Kumar, submits that apart from the disclosure statement of the co-accused, no other evidence has been led by the prosecution against the petitioner to substantiate the allegations.

However, the circumstances in its totality are to be examined by the trial Court at the stage of evidence, and the authenticity thereof remains to be uncertain in the absence of any other connecting material at this stage. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

**CRM-M-15751-2026****3**

7. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

8. It is further made clear that if, in future, the petitioner is found to be directly involved in similar activities, the prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

27.03.2026***Rashmi***

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No