



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(130)

CRM-M-15976-2026

DATE OF DECISION: 24.03.2026

Joga Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Prince Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for issuance of direction to respondents no.2 to 4 not to conduct unnecessary raids at house of petitioner on manners of representation dated 18.03.2026 (Annexure P-4), not to harass and mentally torture him without any cause of action and proof or without any complaint against him, not to call him to visit police station again and again and not to make the petitioner homeless or leave his house and town in the garb of fear to get arrested.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not involved in any criminal activity; that his nephews, namely Jagjit Singh @ Jaggi and Satnam Singh @ Satta, are involved in certain FIRs, but the petitioner has no connection with them; that one nephew has already gone abroad and has been declared proclaimed offender, while the family members are residing abroad; that despite publication of a notice of disownment, the petitioner is allegedly being harassed by police officials of different districts by repeatedly calling him to police stations to secure the

**CRM-M-15976-2026**

presence of the said accused; that representation dated 18.03.2026 (Annexure P-4) in this regard have been submitted, but no action has been taken.

3. On advance notice, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the nephews of the petitioner are “A” category criminals and that the petitioner’s house was raided in an attempt to apprehend them; that one of the nephews has since been arrested and is in custody, while the other remains absconding and is at large; that the raids were conducted during “Operation Prahar” and that henceforth the petitioner shall neither be called to the police station nor shall his house be raided.

4. Heard.

5. In view of the submissions made and the statement of learned State counsel, no further interference is called for. The present petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

24.03.2026**mamta****(SUBHAS MEHLA)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No