



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214+107

RSA-4307-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.02.2026

Subhash Chander

.....Appellant

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Veer Imaan Gill, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Surya Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The appellant/plaintiff has filed the instant appeal against judgment and decree dated 02.08.1999, passed by learned Additional District Judge, Chandigarh, whereby the judgment and decree dated 28.03.1995, passed by learned Sub Judge IInd Class, Chandigarh, has been set aside and suit filed by the appellant/plaintiff has been dismissed.

2. Learned Senior counsel for the appellant/plaintiff submits that since during the pendency of the present proceedings, the appellant/plaintiff was appointed on regular basis on the post of Works Manager through Punjab Public Service Commission and retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation, his earlier service from 02.06.1987 to 27.07.1993 is liable to be counted for the purpose of pensionary benefits. He has placed reliance upon the judgment passed by this Court in *CWP-8718-2023 titled as 'Parveen Garg Vs. State of*



raised by the employee has been considered and allowed keeping in view rule 3.17-A of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume II. He further submits that for claiming the said relief, the appellant/plaintiff shall submit a detailed representation to the respondents and direction may be issued to consider the said representation in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned Senior counsel for the appellant/plaintiff.

4. If any such representation is submitted by the appellant within a period of 04 weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of by the competent authority, expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the appellant, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of representation keeping in view the law laid down in *Parveen Garg’s case (supra)*. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the appellant. Needless to say, if the appellant is found entitled to the said benefit, the same shall be released to him within a period of 01 month thereafter.

5. Disposed of in the above terms.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

03.02.2026

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No