



**RA-LP-16-2023 in
LPA-1101-2022**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(203)

**RA-LP-16-2023 in
LPA-1101-2022
Date of Decision : March 13, 2026**

Anmol

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate, for applicant-respondent No.2.

Mr. Yatin Bunger, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ivan Singh Khosa, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Parampreet Singh Bajwa, Advocate,
for the non-applicant/appellant. (joined through VC)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Present review application has been filed for review of the order dated 17.02.2023 (Annexure A-1) passed by Coordinate Division Bench of this Court.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondent No.2 submits that the occasion of filing the review only came into being keeping in view the legal notice sent by the non-applicant/appellant claiming certain benefits from applicant-respondent No.2 keeping in view the order dated 17.02.2023, review of which is being sought wherein, the non-applicant/appellant is claiming refund of all the amount deposited during the time of admission.



**RA-LP-16-2023 in
LPA-1101-2022**

2

3. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondent No.2 in the corollary further submits that the direction given by the Coordinate Division Bench of this Court was only for the refund of 50% of the fee amount and registration charges to be paid by the University-respondent No.2 and the State Government each. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondent No.2 further submits that said aspect be clarified as the University is ready to comply with the directions given.

4. Learned State counsel submits that there is no ambiguity qua said aspect and the State has not filed an appeal against said order and the State will also comply with directions which have been given.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondent No.2 submits that the claim of refund of the tuition fees as well as registration fees as ordered by the Division Bench to be paid in 50% ratio by the State and the University will be duly accepted by the applicant-respondent No.2.

6. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondent No.2 further submits that keeping in view the stand taken by the respective parties as recorded hereinbefore, the present review application may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

March 13, 2026
harsha

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No