



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

RSA-2374-2000

Date of decision: 04.02.2026

Chiranji Lal (deceased) through LRs

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Mohit Nehra, Advocate and
Mr. Vijay Dahiya, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, AAG, Haryana

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant Regular Second Appeal has been preferred by the appellant/plaintiff impugning the judgments and decrees passed by the learned Trial Court and the First Appellate Court dated 24.12.1998 and 06.03.2000 respectively, whereby the suit of the appellant/plaintiff has been dismissed being barred by limitation.

2. The appellant/plaintiff filed a suit for declaration to the effect that the order dated 30.01.1989, passed by respondent/defendant No.2, whereby he was removed from service and a recovery of Rs.24,529.87 paisa was imposed upon him, as well as the order of the Appellate Authority dated 20.12.1991, are illegal and bad in law.

3. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that actually the order of the Appellate Authority is dated 08.07.1990, having endorsement dated 01.08.1990 and not dated 20.12.1991 and, therefore,

the suit filed by the appellant/plaintiff was time barred.

4. Keeping in view the above, there is no perversity in the finding recorded by the learned Trial Court and the First Appellate Court except that the order passed by the Appellate Authority is dated 08.07.1990, having endorsement dated 01.08.1990, instead of 20.12.1991. Consequently, the instant appeal is hereby dismissed.

04.02.2026

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No