



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-8871-2026
Decided On: 24.03.2026

MANISH YADAV AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Najim Khilluka, Advocate and
Mr. Pardeep, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. AG Haryana

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

* * * *

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus declaring the impugned action of the respondents in engaging the petitioners at a paltry salary of Rs.6,000/- per month after advertisement and conducting two stage cumbersome written examination, akin to selecting teachers and other C Group Non Teaching recruitments.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition may be disposed of in terms of the stand taken by the learned State counsel in **CWP-21074-2025 titled Sudhir & Ors. vs. State of Haryana & Ors.** and other connected matters, decided on 24.12.2025, wherein it was undertaken that the State would reconsider the issue relating to the petitioners' entitlement to minimum wages by examining each case independently and passing appropriate orders in accordance with law and applicable provisions.

3. Learned State counsel reiterates the same stand as taken in **CWP-21074-2025 titled Sudhir & Ors. vs. State of Haryana & Ors.** and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 24.12.2025.
4. In view of the aforesaid statement and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to undertake an individualized assessment of the claim of each petitioner, having due regard to the nature of duties discharged by them and the applicable statutory regime governing minimum wages, and to pass reasoned and speaking orders within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. It is further directed that in cases where the petitioners have not been paid their due remuneration, the same shall be disbursed forthwith along with admissible arrears, in accordance with law.
5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of in the above-said terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

24.03.2026

NainaRajput

Whether speaking/reasoned	:Yes/No
Whether reportable	:Yes/No