



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(205.1)

FAO No. 3987 of 2002(O&M)**Date of Decision: 27.02.2026**

Sandeep Kaur and others

...Appellants

Versus

M/s Anupurna Rice Mills and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for Appellants.

Mr. Sahil Mahendiratta, Advocate and
Mr. Ashutosh Dhankar, Advocate
for Respondent no.1

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Arora, Advocate
for Respondent-Insurance Company.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present appeal is taken up for hearing today. When the matter is called, none appears on behalf of the appellant.
2. It is pertinent to note that none has been appearing for the appellant for the last several dates of hearing. The appeal pertains to the year 2002 and has been pending adjudication for a considerable length of time. Despite repeated listings, no effective steps have been taken to prosecute the matter.
3. The continued absence of the appellant or his counsel clearly reflects lack of interest in pursuing the present appeal. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal pending on the docket indefinitely.
4. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed in default and for want of prosecution.



5. However, liberty is granted to the appellant(s) to move an appropriate application for revival/restoration of the appeal, if so advised, within a period of three months from today, subject to showing sufficient cause.

6. As a natural corollary, since the main appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

27.02.2026

Saurav Pathania

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
(ii) Whether reportable : Yes/No