



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(202)

RSA No. 301 of 1998 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.03.2026

Labh Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Chotta Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

Amarinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. The present Regular Second Appeal was instituted in the year 1998 and has remained pending for bringing on record legal representatives of the respondent. On the last date of hearing, it was recorded that in case, legal representatives of the respondent are not brought on record, the appeal shall be liable to be dismissed for non-prosecution. Many adjournments have already been sought by the counsel for the appellant for this purpose. Even today, counsel for the appellant submits that he has no instructions from his client.

2. In light of the above, the present appeal stands dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to the appellant to seek its revival within the period of limitation, in case, any cogent cause of action survives.

3. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

March 20, 2026*kanchan***(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)****JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes**Whether reportable : No*