



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

139

CWP-8764-2026

Date of Decision: 01.04.2026

Vinay Kumar Dutta and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S.Khara, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. P.S.Dhindsa, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

Mr. G.S.Sethi, Advocate
for respondent No.9.

Mr. Ramesh Chand Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.17.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners has *inter alia* argued that there is a violation of order dated 28.09.2017 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Writ Court as well as order dated 17.02.2025 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Contempt Court.

2. Heard.

3. Remedy available to the petitioner is not of invoking the extraordinary writ jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of



CWP-8764-2026

-2-

India, but it lies elsewhere. This Court is not inclined to interfere with the impugned orders in exercise of its discretionary powers.

- 4. Petition is dismissed as not maintainable.
- 5. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedy available to them in accordance with law.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

April 01, 2026
Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No