

2026:PHHC:073142



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

COCP-2098-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.05.2026

Gurcharan Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Swapan Sharma

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Ms. Perna Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Balwinder Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS SURI, J.

1. This petition has been filed under Section 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondent for wilful disobedience of the order dated 12.12.2024 (Annexure P-2), passed in CRM-M-57178-2024.

2. Learned counsel for the State has pointed out that earlier also, two contempt petitions were filed, being COCP-3755-2025 and COCP-668-2026, alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 12.12.2024 which stand dismissed as withdrawn vide orders dated 03.09.2025 and 18.02.2026, respectively.

3. It is further pointed out that COCP-668-2026 was dismissed as withdrawn with the following observations:

“1. Learned counsel for the State has pointed out that earlier also COCP-3755-2025 was filed by the



petitioners alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 12.12.2024 passed in CRM-M-57178-2024 which is the same order qua which willful disobedience has been alleged in the present contempt petition. The said contempt petition (COCP-3755-2025) was disposed off, while observing that the representation of the petitioners stood decided and the order dated 12.12.2024 had been complied with.

2. Learned counsel for the State has further pointed out that there is not a whisper in the present contempt petition qua the earlier contempt petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners' states that he was not informed by the party regarding the filing of the earlier contempt petition, hence, seeks permission to withdraw the present contempt petition. Permitted to do so.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off."

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though on behalf of the respondent, it is persistently submitted that the representation of the petitioners stands decided in deference to the order dated 12.12.2024 but a copy of the same has neither been produced before this Court nor furnished to the petitioners despite repeated requests.

5. Faced with the above, learned State counsel had sought a pass over to have telephonic instructions. On resumed hearing, a copy of order bearing No.19711 dated 09.08.2025, said to be the order passed on the representation of the petitioners, in deference to the order dated 12.12.2024, has been produced in Court. A copy thereof has been



COC-2098-2026 (O&M)

-3-

furnished to learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. In view of the above facts and circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to the petitioners to avail appropriate remedy against the order dated 09.08.2025, furnished to him in Court today, as per law.

7. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

May 04, 2026
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No