



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CWP-PIL-64-2026

Date of decision: 20.03.2026

Vikash Sharma

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY, JUDGE**

Present:- Mr. Brijesh, Advocate (arguing counsel) and
Ms. Akansha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen S. Bardhwaj, Addl. AG, Haryana

.....

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. By way of this petition, petitioner essentially alleges alleged corrupt activities of respondent No. 10.

2. Instead of going into the prolixity of adjudication since there is a forum available in the shape of Lokayukta constituted under the Haryana Lokayukta Act, 2002, this Court refrains from exercising its power of judicial review and relegates the petitioner to avail the remedy as may be available before the Lokayukta, in accordance with the law.

3. With the aforesaid observation, this petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

**(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

20.03.2026

preeti

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No