



CWP-10802-2026

-2-

was challenged before this Court and the writ petition was dismissed on 13.08.2012. The LPA was also dismissed and the same was upheld upto the level of Hon'ble Supreme Court and the SLP was dismissed on 21.11.2014. Thereafter, the petitioners were reinstated in service and they have been continuously working since then. The petitioners are seeking their regularization in terms of the policy dated 01.10.2003 (Annexure P-14). Despite submitting repeated representations, no decision has been taken by the respondents with regard to their regularization. In the meantime, several other employees, who were appointed subsequent to the petitioners, have been regularized, whereas, the petitioners have been arbitrarily denied the same benefit.

2.1 He further submits that he would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents No.2 & 3 to consider the representation of the petitioners in a time bound manner and decide the same in terms of the judgments rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jaggo v. Union of India and others*** 2025 AIR SC 296; ***Dharam Singh and Others v. State of U.P. and Another*** 2025 SCC OnLine SC 1735 and ***Shripal & Anr. v. Nagar Nigam, Ghaziabad*** 2025 SCC OnLine SC 221.

3. Mr. Divyansh Shukla, Advocate for Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate puts in appearance and submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to respondents No.2 & 3 for time-bound consideration and decision of the representation of the petitioners by passing a speaking order.

4. In view of the above, the present civil writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file a representation before respondents No.

CWP-10802-2026

-3-

2 & 3 within a period of two weeks. Upon receipt of the said representation, the same shall be considered by the respondents in the light of the aforementioned judgments and a speaking order be passed, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, within a further period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of such representation. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioners. Needless to say, if the petitioners are found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondents No.2 & 3.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

09.04.2026

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No