



**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No. 976 of 1998 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 13.01.2026**

BURMO DEVI

.....APPELLANT

Vs.

RAM KUMAR AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Tarun Yadav, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Parit Aggarwal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 1.

Respondent No. 2 and 6 have already been proceeded against
ex parte, vide order dated 25.08.2023.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:-

“1. As per the office report, the correct addresses of respondents No. 3 to 5 and 7 have not been filed so far and, therefore, notices could not be issued to them.

2. A perusal of the case file shows that this is the sixth consecutive date in succession on which the case is being adjourned for the same purpose since 25.08.2023.

3. Today, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

4. One last and final opportunity is granted to learned counsel for the appellant to file the correct



addresses of respondents No. 3 to 5 and 7. Upon his doing so within 10 days from today, notice be issued to respondents No. 3 to 5 and 7, returnable on 13.01.2026.

5. *It is made clear that if, this time also, the current/correct addresses are not supplied by learned counsel for the appellant, the appeal shall be liable to be dismissed for non-prosecution against respondents No. 3 to 5 and 7 on the next date of hearing.*

6. *The Registry is also directed to inform learned counsel for the appellant about the passing of this order.”*

2. Today, as per the report of the Registry, no steps have been taken for service of unserved respondents No. 3 to 5 and 7.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that since the correct addresses of respondents No. 3 to 5 and 7 are not being supplied by the appellant to him, the appeal be dismissed for want of prosecution, with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if he so desires.

4. Keeping in view the above, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellant to move an appropriate application for revival of the appeal, in accordance with law.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

JANUARY 13, 2026
nitin

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes
Whether Reportable	No