

**LPA-57-2021 (O&M)****1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(212)**LPA-57-2021 (O&M)****Date of Decision : March 24, 2026****Rajesh****.. Appellant****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.. Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL**

Present: Mr. Zorawar Mavi, Advocate and
Mr. Jasmine Sahni, Advocate, for
Mr. Nitish Bhatia, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present appeal, the challenge is to the order dated 17.02.2020 passed by the learned Single Judge in CWP No.23019 of 2019 by which, the order dated 27.06.2019 passed by the Divisional Canal Officer, Hisar, dismissing the appeal as time barred, has been upheld.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant was requested to rebut the contention that the appeal so preferred, which was rejected as time barred, was contrary to the provisions of the Rules prescribing the limitation for filing the appeal. However, learned counsel for the appellant has not been able to show that the appeal so preferred on 10.06.2019 against the order dated 25.06.2018 passed by Sub Divisional Canal Officer was within the period of limitation.

**LPA-57-2021 (O&M)****2**

3. Once, the reason given the Divisional Canal Officer for rejecting the appeal, which order has been upheld by the learned Single Judge, has gone un-rebutted at the hands of the appellant, no interference is called for by this Court in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

4. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

5. Civil miscellaneous application pending if any, also stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 24, 2026
harsha

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No