



**132 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.809 of 2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.04.2026

BALWINDER SINGH WARRAICH

.....Appellant

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate,
for the appellant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present intra court appeal has been filed challenging the order passed by the learned Single Judge dated 25.02.2026.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant has submitted that the appellant was transferred on 15.09.2025 and on his request to the concerned department, the same was declined on the ground that his case would be considered during general transfers. This order dated 09.01.2026 passed vide Annexure P-9 was challenged by filing the writ petition, which was dismissed by the learned Single Judge vide order dated 25.02.2026. He has further submitted that the appellant's case is that the respondent-department did not consider clause 2 (iv) of the Transfer Policy dated 23.04.2018, where before transferring the employee in couple cases, the convenience of both the couples shall be considered.
3. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant.
4. A perusal of the impugned judgment dated 25.02.2026 passed



by learned Single Judge would show that a specific statement was made by the learned State counsel that the general transfers were normally held in the months of April and May and therefore, at that stage no cause of action survived and in the operative part of the impugned judgment passed by the learned Single Judge in paragraph No.3, it was so observed that since the respondents themselves were admitting that the case of the appellant shall be considered in the general transfers, to be held in the months of April and May, 2026, no further directions were necessitated.

5. We are of the considered view that the present is a time where the general transfers are to be effected as per the statement made by the learned State counsel before the learned Single Judge and as per the impugned judgment, the case of the appellant is to be considered in general transfers which are to be held in the months of April and May.

6. In view of the above, we are of the considered view that there can be no interference in the present intra court appeal because there is no illegality or perversity in the judgment passed by the learned Single Judge. Accordingly, the present intra court appeal is dismissed.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

21.04.2026
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No