

2026.PIIIC.049130



144

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8707-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.03.2026

Subhash Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Petition herein is, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the official respondents to conduct time bound inquiry into the allegations of corruption, misconduct and misuse of the funds by respondent No.6 – Hariom, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Pota, under the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994.

1.1 A further prayer has been made to issue appropriate directions for releasing the outstanding honorarium along with interest to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has reiterated the averments made in the present writ petition.

3. Heard.

4. Upon perusal of the paper book, it is apparent that in the various representations/complaints submitted by the petitioner, although multiple

allegations have been levelled against respondent No.6 – Hariom, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Pota, however, the same are not supported by any material/evidence. The petitioner is seeking a roving enquiry into the affairs of the Gram Panchayat, without placing on record any material particulars or supporting documents to substantiate the allegations made therein.

5. In “*Ashok Kumar Pandey v. State of W.B.*” 2004(3) SCC 349, the Hon’ble Apex Court held that petition seeking to make a roving enquiry on speculative foundations and premises, cannot be entertained.

6. In view of the above, the prayer of the petitioner seeking a direction to the official respondents to conduct a time bound inquiry against respondent No.6 is hereby rejected. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail his other remedies before the competent department in accordance with law.

6.1 As far as the petitioner’s prayer for release of the outstanding honorarium along with interest is concerned, the petitioner shall be at liberty to submit an appropriate representation to the concerned Panchayat authorities. It goes without saying that in the event such a representation is submitted, the same shall be considered on its own merits, in accordance with law.

7. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed with the aforesaid observations.

8. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

25.03.2026

Apurva

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No