



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(211)

RSA-3777-1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-02.02.2026

SMT. LAJ WANTI AND ANOTHER

... Appellants

Versus

SHYAM LAL

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate
for Ms. Tulika Jain, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. A.P. Bhandari, Advocate
for Mr. Bhargavi, Advocate for the respondent.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel for the appellants as well as respondent have no instructions and have no contact with their clients.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed in default with liberty to the appellants to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

02nd February, 2026

Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No