



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M No.15695 of 2026 (O & M)

Date of decision : 7.5.2026

Date of uploading : 8.5.2026

Ujagar Singh @ Ujagar Singh CheemaPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Vinod Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab

Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate, for the complainant

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 6.4.2026, the following order was passed:

'Learned counsel for the complainant has filed additional reply by way of affidavit of complainant Bahadur Singh in Court today. The same be kept on record. Copy thereof has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Inter alia, contends that the petitioner is an old man aged 77 years with no criminal antecedents, civil suit re:crux of the FIR in question is already pending, case in hand is primarily based on documentary evidence, the petitioner is willing to deposit his passport with the concerned Illaqa Magistrate & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Put up on 7.5.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 10.4.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on



interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

The petitioner is directed to submit his passport before the concerned Illaqa Magistrate and submit receipt thereof in this Court well before the next date of hearing.'

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 6.4.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation but his custodial interrogation is required for recovering certain documents.

2. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail of the petitioner by arguing that there are direct and serious allegations against the petitioner and in case he has extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may flee from the hands of justice and may influence the prosecution witnesses/evidence.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, especially keeping in view the factum that the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is sought only for recovery of documents; this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 6.4.2026.

4. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 6.4.2026, passed by this Court is hereby confirmed, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

4.1 The passport of the petitioner, which has been deposited before the concerned Illaqa Magistrate in terms of order dated 6.4.2026 earlier



passed by this Court, shall continue to remain deposited with the said Court subject to further directions/orders issued in this regard by this Court.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

7.5.2026
Ashwanii

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No