



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

COCP-1103-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.01.2026

Gurdev Singh and another

...Petitioners

V/s

Pritpal Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Ojas Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Supriya Garg, Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 6, 8 and 9.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition, preferred under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 (for short "the 1971 Act"), alleges willful disobedience of order dated 23.11.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed in RSA-2436-2022.

2. RSA-2436-2022 was filed by the petitioners in which, the following order had been passed on 23.11.2022:-

"Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the division of land for the purpose of cultivation was effected between the parties and the said fact was even admitted by the defendant No.1 in a complaint filed by him to the police authorities, thus in the wake of said document the Courts below could not have non-suited the appellants as regards relief of permanent injunction.

Notice of motion for 17.02.2023.

At this stage, Mr. Vipul Joshi, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents.

In the meantime, parties shall maintain status quo as regards possession of the suit property.

LCR be requisitioned."

3. The case of the petitioners is that despite the said order having been passed, the respondents are trying to interfere in the possession of the petitioners and as regards the same, an application (Annexure P-3) had been submitted by them to the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra and a DDR had also been registered, which is evident from document Annexure P-4.

4. Reply on behalf of the respondents has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record. It has been averred that apart from the self-serving statement of the petitioners as regards violation of the order of *status quo*, no proof has been submitted by them. Reference has been made to the complaint submitted to the police and the proceedings thereon and it has been averred that the said proceedings also clarified that there has been no violation by the respondents. It has also been averred that the petitioners are not addressing arguments in the regular second appeal. Interlocutory orders have been placed on record as Annexure R-4.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there has been willful disobedience of order dated 23.11.2022 passed by a coordinate Bench in RSA-2436-2022. In support of his contentions, he refers to the complaint (Annexure P-3) and the proceedings (Annexure P-4).

6. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the respondents submits that there has been no violation and refers to the documents annexed with the reply.

7. Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, this Court finds that there is no evidence of any willful disobedience of order dated 23.11.2022 passed in RSA-2436-2022. Apart from a complaint having been submitted and a telephonic call having been made by the petitioners, there is no other documentary evidence on record to even *prima facie* suggest any willful disobedience of the said order.

8. That being so, the instant contempt petition is found to be devoid of merit and is accordingly dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

January 15, 2026
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No