



142 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8495-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.03.2026

Beenu Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Varun Baanth, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Vashisht, DAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to decide his representation dated 28.02.2026 and 02.03.2026.

2. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that respondent has restricted access to Durga Mata Mandir, Gurugram. It is causing inconvenience to devotees. She has filed representation before Authorities to reconsider their decision to close old gate.

3. Learned State counsel on being confronted with representation dated 28.02.2026 and 02.03.2026 submits that Competent Authority would consider petitioner's representation and address the same within three days from today.

4. Learned counsel representing the petitioner agrees with the aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statements of both sides, the petition stands *disposed of*.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.03.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No