



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

177

CRWP-3175-2026

Date of decision: 18.03.2026

SAIF ALI AND ANR.

.... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STAT OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Parminder Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this is the first marriage between the petitioners.

The petitioners have prayed for the issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting their civil/personal rights and liberties from being invaded by the private respondents. The petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 16.03.2026 (Annexure P-3) on attaining the age of majority. They feel reasonable apprehension and a threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of the aforesaid relief, the petitioners have approached the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mini Secretariat DC Office, District Nawanshahar (SBS Nagar), Punjab- respondent no.2 by way of a representation dated 16.03.2026 (Annexure P-5).

Notice of motion to respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State of Punjab.



At this stage, without expressing any opinion as to the validity of the marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

18.03.2026
Kusum

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>