



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-8540-2026

Date of Decision: 14.05.2026

SATYAPAL SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate and Ms. Navjeet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant the benefit of first ACP scale to the petitioner, which has wrongly been withheld.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that he is due for grant of first ACP scale but it has been withheld on the ground that a petition filed by him, CWP No.29519 of 2024, is pending adjudication before this Court. The said case has no concern with grant of ACP as it pertains to recovery of costs of Tool and Die Trade equipments from the petitioner vide order dated 20.09.2024, Annexure P-5, on the ground that at the time of transfer the charge was not properly handed over by him. Accordingly, it cannot be a basis to withhold the financial upgradation.

3. Learned State counsel has received instructions from Deputy Director, Skill Development and Industrial Training Department, vide memo dated 20.04.2026, which is retained on the case file along with enclosure as Annexure ‘A’. Referring to the same, she contends that the reason for not considering the petitioner’s claim for grant of ACP scale is pending disciplinary proceedings against him, for which he has been issued a chargesheet dated 15.04.2026, appended to the instructions. On that account, as per Rules 7 and 8 of the Haryana Civil Services (ACP) Rules, 2016, he cannot claim to have rendered the requisite regular satisfactory service.
4. These facts could not be disputed by learned counsel representing the petitioner, nor could he establish that the petitioner has completed the required regular satisfactory service to be eligible for grant of the scale.
5. In view thereof, the petitioner’s claim for grant of ACP scale cannot be considered at this stage and the petition stands disposed of.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

14.05.2026

Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>