

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

S.No. 223

RSA-3440-1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 30.04.2026

Gurdip Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Chanan Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. As per office report, notice sent to the appellant has been received back with the report that he is residing abroad whereas the sole respondent has expired.

2. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. Despite sufficient opportunities, no steps have been taken by the appellant pursue the present appeal. In these circumstances, it is evident that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the present appeal pending on the docket for an indefinite period.

3. It thus appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present appeal.

4. In view of the above, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in accordance with law, in case any sufficient cause survives.

5. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

April 30, 2026
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No