



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(206)

RSA-530-1998 (O&M)**Date of Decision:-21.05.2026****HARBHAJAN KAUR**

... Appellant

Versus

RAGHBIR SINGH AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Lehar Saraf, Advocate
for Mr. R.P. Dhir, Advocate
for the appellant.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that there are no instructions from the appellant. Previous order remained not complied with. Correct address of respondents No.1 and 3(A to F) has not been filed and no application has been moved for impleading the LRs of deceased respondents No.2 and 4. In the absence of the respondents, the appeal cannot proceed further.

2. As such, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the case on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

21st May, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No