



**143 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8660-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.03.2026

Jagjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Rajdeep Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to take action against respondent no. 4 for forging/fabricating property ID and allowing execution of sale deeds. He is further seeking stay on any coercive action by the respondents.

2. The petitioner is a public spirited person. He submits that respondent no. 4 in connivance with other officials and land mafias fabricated property IDs and allowed execution of sale deeds for the unauthorised colonies. His complaint i.e. FIR no. 258 dated 25.09.2025 under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338 and 340 of BNS at PS Cheeka, Kaithal was registered but respondent No. 4 and other erring officials were not implicated. He filed representations to Deputy Commissioner, Kaithal but to no avail. Respondent no. 4 through unidentified persons got one complaint lodged against him with the allegation that he is blackmailing them without proper parentage and address. Respondent no.

4 issued a circular dated 14.01.2026 against him directing departments to submit details of complaints filed by him. Respondent no. 4 got him implicated in FIR no. 15 dated 15.02.2026 under sections 356(2), 352, 351(2), 221, 126 of BNS at PS Guhla, Kaithal for alleged participation in a rally against respondent no.4. He claims that multiple complaints are being filed against him at the behest of respondent no. 4.

3. Learned State counsel submits that complaint of petitioner has already been examined and consigned to record. As per report dated 31.01.2026 of DSP, Guhla, Kaithal, there is no substance in petitioner’s complaint rather he is involved in eight criminal cases.

4. Heard the arguments and perused the record.

5. From the perusal of record, it is evident that petitioner is raising grievance against SDM, Guhla, Kaithal. He himself has been implicated in eight criminal cases. He has right to raise his grievance before trial Court if he finds or otherwise has evidence to the effect that he has been wrongly implicated.

6. In the wake of aforesaid discussion and findings, the petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly ***dismissed***.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.03.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No