



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

128

CWP-8390-2026

Date of Decision: 24.03.2026

SURENDER KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Vikas Kumar Dhariwal, Advocate
(Through Video Conferencing)
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to regularise the petitioners' services and grant them all consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent no.3 is directed to decide the pending legal notice, dated 11.02.2026, Annexure P-10, in a specified period in view of the judgment passed by the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.14831 of 2024 titled *Jaggo v. Union of India and others*.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State and submits that the pending legal notice, dated 11.02.2026, will be decided by respondent no.3/Director General, Higher Education, by passing a speaking/reasoned order in



accordance with law by taking into consideration the judgment in *Jaggo* case *ibid.*, within a period of six months.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

24.03.2026
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>