



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215

RSA-3088-1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-30.03.2026

Bogh Singh and another

.....Appellants

Versus

Jagrup Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ankush Singla, Advocate, for the appellants.

None for the respondents.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. As per order dated 26.02.2026, it was made clear to learned counsel for the appellants that non-appearance on behalf of the appellants on the next date of hearing, or continued lack of instructions to learned counsel, shall entail dismissal of the appeal for non-prosecution, with liberty to seek revival of the same in accordance with law.

2. Today learned counsel for the appellants has appeared and submits that he has no instruction in the matter as he is not in contact with the appellants.

3 In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 1999, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellants to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.



4. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

30.03.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No