



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

(255)

CRM-M-15643-2026  
DATE OF DECISION: 29.04.2026

Gurdeep Singh @ Ravi

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present Mr. Ashish Kumar Gupta, Advocate, (through VC)  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. AG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)**

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS seeking grant of concession of **regular bail**, to the petitioner in case FIR No.77 dated 19.06.2025, under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 111, 61(2) BNS, (Section 341(2) BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Division No.4, Patiala.

2. Allegations against the petitioner is that he along with co-accused was involved in furnishing fake surety and forgery of documents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in this case and the allegations against the petitioner are that forged and fabricated documents are recovered from his possession; that petitioner along with other co-accused used these documents to appear as a fake surety before the Court of law; that the investigation has already been completed; that challan qua the present petitioner has been filed; that the petitioner is in custody for the last ten months; that other co-accused have already been granted concession of



regular bail; that trial will take sufficient time to conclude; and that no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bar.

Hence, prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner is made.

4. In pursuance of advance notice, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. AG, Punjab, appears and files custody certificate of the petitioner, same is taken on record. Learned State counsel submits that the present petitioner along with co-accused was found in possession of forged and fabricated documents which they intend to use as a fake surety before the Court of law; he fairly admitted that the case of the present petitioner is on similar footings to that of co-accused, who have been granted concession of regular bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court passed in CRM-M-67300-2025 and other connected matters, decided on 10.03.2026.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the contentions raised by learned counsel for the parties, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner on the following aspects:

- (i) That the co-accused, whose case is on similar footing to that of the present petitioner, have already been granted the concession of regular bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court;
- (ii) That the petitioner has clean antecedents and is not involved in any other case;
- (iii) That the petitioner has been in custody for the last ten months;
- (iv) That there is no direct evidence to establish the complicity of the petitioner in the present case;
- (v) That the trial is likely to take considerable time to



conclude;

(vi) That no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars for any further period;

(vii) That the concession of bail cannot be denied as a measure of punishment, as culpability is to be determined after appreciation of evidence adduced by both parties during trial; and

(viii) That it is a settled principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is the rule and jail is the exception.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

29.04.2026 (SUBHAS MEHLA)  
mamta JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |