

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:004507



RSA-3589-1998 (O&M)

RSA-3011-1998 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-15.01.2026

(203) RSA-3589-1998 (O&M)

BIRDI CHAND ... Appellant

Versus

JYOTI SWROOP AND ANOTHER ... Respondents

(203.1) RSA-3011-1998 (O&M)

BIRDI CHAND ... Appellant

Versus

JYOTI SWROOP AND ANOTHER ... Respondents

-. -

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant in both the appeals.

Mr. Aditya Yadav and Mr. Atul Bhardwaj, Advocates,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Gaurav Gogna, Advocate,
for respondent No.2 in RSA-3589-1998.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. None is present on behalf of the appellant in both the appeals.

Notices were issued to the appellant(s) in both the appeals for the previous date i.e. 13.08.2025 and sole appellant in both the appeals was reported to be dead, so, a notice was ordered to be issued to the counsel earlier representing the appellant. Mr.Sanjay Mittal-Advocate, earlier counsel for the appellant in both the appeals has reported that the party has taken brief from him, so, it seems that no one is interested in pursuing the instant appeals.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping these appeals on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeals stand dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the LRs of appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main cases stand dismissed for want of prosecution, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

5. Photocopy of this order be placed on the other connected case.

15th January, 2026
S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No